UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/580,488 | 03/27/2007 | Takumi Arie | S1459.70115US00 | 2387 |
| | 7590 02/16/201 IFIELD & SACKS, P.(| EXAMINER | | |
| 600 ATLANTIC | C AVENUE | LEIBY, CHRISTOPHER E | | |
| BOSTON, MA | 02210-2200 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2629 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/16/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|----------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/580,488 | ARIE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| CHRISTOPHER E. LEIBY | 2629 | |

| | CHRISTOP | HER E. LEIBY | 2629 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the co | over sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATIO | N IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | the same day replies: (1) an eal (with appe | as filing a Notice of amendment, affidavial fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, ater than SIX M | or (2) the date set forth ONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejection | on. | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | f). on which the pe tension and the shortened statut than three mon | tition under 37 CFR 1.1 corresponding amount ory period for reply orig | 36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offic | e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (| 37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or | nsideration an w); ter form for ap | d/or search (see NO | TE below); ducing or simplifying tl | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 16 and 41.33(| a)). | | PTOL-324). | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if sub | | • | _ | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | | · | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) I | Paper No(s) | | | | |
| /Alexander Eisen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629 | Exan | topher E. Leiby niner nit: 2629 | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment has never before been entered into prosecution and therefor is regarded as new issue.